IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

MISC.CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 88 OF 2007

IN

WRIT PETITION NO. 63 OF 2007

MARIA A. PIRES ....Applicant

Versus

THE STATE OF GOA THROUGH THE CHIEF SECRETARY AND 4 ORS., ...Respondents

Mr. Ryan Menezes, Advocate for the applicant.

Mr. S. S. Kantak, Advocate General with Ms. L. Dharwadkar, Addl. Govt. Advocate for the respondents.

Coram:- S.K. SHAH &
N. A. BRITTO, JJ.

Date:- 12th February, 2007

P.C.

This application is taken up for speaking to the minutes of the order dated 6.2.2007. The learned Counsel for the applicant submits that the representations are not to be forwarded to the Departments, but to the Ombudsman and, therefore, requests for substituting the word "Ombudsman" instead of `Departments". He also submits that liberty was granted to the petitioner to make detailed representation and that liberty is not forming part of the order.

The learned Advocate General has no objection to make these corrections. The application is, therefore, allowed in terms of prayer clauses (a) and (b). The necessary corrections may be made in the order. The application stands disposed of.

S.K. SHAH, J.

ssm.